

# The Gazette of India



PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 13] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, MARCH 28, 1959/CHAITRA 7, 1831

## NOTICE

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published upto the 14th March, 1959:—

Issue No.	No. and date	Issued by	Subject
39	S. O. 603 and 604, dated 14th March, 1959.	Ministry of Information and Broadcasting.	Approval of films specified therein.

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

## PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administrations of Union Territories).

## ELECTION COMMISSION, INDIA

*New Delhi, the 17th March 1959*

S.O. 655.—Whereas the election of Shri Raghunath Singh Kiledar as a member of the House of the People from the Hoshangabad Constituency has been called in question by an election petition presented under Part VI of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (43 of 1951), by Shri Vinaya Kumar Diwan, son of Shri Nand Kishore, resident of Maragaon, Tehsil Hoshangabad, District Hoshangabad, Madhya Pradesh;

And whereas the Election Commission has caused a copy of the petition to be published in the Official Gazette and has served a copy thereof by post on Shri Raghunath Singh Kiledar under sub-section (1) of section 86 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (43 of 1951);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sections 86 and 88 of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby appoints Shri Mumtaz Hussain,

District and Sessions Judge, Hoshangabad as the member of the Election Tribunal for the trial of the said petition and Hoshangabad as the place where the trial of the petition shall be held.

[No. 82/2/59/4656.]

By order,

DIN DAYAL, Under Secy.

---

**MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS**

*New Delhi-1, the 19th March 1959*

**S.O. 656.**—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Home Affairs S.O. No. 944 dated the 15th May, 1958, published in the Gazette of India at pages 807—809 part II section III (ii) dated the 31st May, 1958 (relating to the Andaman and Nicobar Islands Police Regulations)—

1. In note (2) of Appendix 6.1(1), for 'omitted' substituted 'counted';
2. omit 'do' appearing in columns 3 and 4 against entries Nos. 5 to 11 and in columns 5 and 6 against entries Nos. 9 to 11 of the Table annexed to the said notification.

[No. 45/3/58-ANL.]

A. D. SAMANT, Under Secy.

**MINISTRY OF FINANCE**  
(Department of Economic Affairs)

*New Delhi, the 18th March 1959.*

**S.O. 657.**—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 13th March, 1959.

**BANKING DEPARTMENT**

Liabilities	Rs.	Assets	Rs.
Capital paid up . . . . .	5,00,00,000	Notes . . . . .	10,97,04,000
Reserve Fund . . . . .	80,00,00,000	Rupee Coin . . . . .	2,58,000
National Agricultural Credit (Long-term Operations) Fund . . . . .	25,00,00,000	Subsidiary Coin . . . . .	4,91,000
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund . . . . .	3,00,00,000	Bills Purchased and Discounted :—	
<b>Deposits :—</b>		(a) Internal . . . . .	..
(a) Government		(b) External . . . . .	..
(1) Central Government . . . . .	66,23,39,000	(c) Government Treasury Bills . . . . .	10,38,74,000
(2) Other Governments . . . . .	17,06,75,000	Balances held abroad* . . . . .	33,26,14,000
(b) Banks . . . . .	67,97,01,000	<b>**Loans and Advances to Governments</b> . . . . .	26,28,77,000
(c) Others . . . . .	115,33,38,000	Other Loans and Advances† . . . . .	100,29,63,000
Bills Payable . . . . .	20,42,84,000	Investments . . . . .	245,49,39,000
Other Liabilities . . . . .	38,71,18,000	Other Assets . . . . .	11,97,35,000
<b>TOTAL</b> .	<b>438,74,55,000</b>	<b>TOTAL</b> .	<b>438,74,55,000</b>

\*Includes Cash & Short term Securities.    \*\*Includes Temporary Overdrafts to State Governments.

†The item 'Other Loans and Advances' includes Rs. 6,16,24,000/- advanced to scheduled banks against usance bills under Section 17 (4) (c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

Dated the 18th day of March 1959.

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

Liabilities	Rs.	Rs.	Assets	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department . . . .	10,97,04,000		A. Gold Coin and Bullion :—		
Notes in circulation . . . .	16,95,77,57,000		(a) Held in India . . . .	117,76,03,000	
Total Notes issued . . . .		17,06,74,61,000	(b) Held outside India . . . .	..	
			Foreign Securities . . . .	178,00,89,000	
			TOTAL OF A. . . . .		295,76,92,000
			B. Rupee Coin . . . . .		129,55,42,000
			Government of India Rupee Securities . . . . .		1281,42,27,000
			Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper . . . . .		
TOTAL—LIABILITIES . . . .		17,06,74,61,000	TOTAL—ASSETS . . . . .		1706,74,61,000

Dated the 18th day of March, 1959.—

K.G. AMBEGAOKAR,  
Dy. Governor.

[No. F. 3(2)-BC.1/59].

A. BAKSI, Jt. Secy.

**(Department of Economic Affairs)***New Delhi, the 19th March 1959*

**S.O. 658.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 53 of the Banking Companies Act, 1949 (10 of 1949) the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of section 11 of the said Act shall not apply to the Anthraper Bank (Private) Ltd., Shertally, till the expiry of the 31st day of March 1960, in so far as the said section, by reason only of the constitution of a new District by name Alleppey in the Kerala State (*vide* Notification No. B2-1670/57/RD, dated 13th August 1957 of the Government of Kerala, Revenue Department), requires the said banking company to have paid-up capital and reserves of an aggregate value higher than the aggregate value of paid-up capital and reserves which it would have been required to have under the said section had the said District of Alleppey not been so constituted.

[No. F.4(142)-BC/58.]

**S.O. 659.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 53 of the Banking Companies Act, 1949 (10 of 1949) the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of section 11 of the said Act shall not apply to the S. & I. Banking Corporation Ltd., Tripunithura, till the expiry of the 30th day of September 1959, in so far as the said section, by reason only of the constitution of two new Districts by names Palghat and Ernakulam in the Kerala State (*vide* Notification No. SRN. 3-29174/56, dated the 19th December 1956 and C. No. 13879-B2/58 dated the 24th March 1958 of the Government of Kerala, States Reorganisation Department and Revenue (B) Department respectively), requires the said banking company to have paid-up capital and reserves of an aggregate value higher than the aggregate value of paid-up capital and reserves which it would have been required to have under the said section had the said Districts of Palghat and Ernakulam not been so constituted.

[No. F.4(142)-BC/58.]

D. N. GHOSH, Under Secy.

**(Department of Revenue)****ORDER****STAMPS***New Delhi, the 16th March 1959*

**S.O. 660.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits retrospectively the duty with which the lease deed, dated the 8th November, 1958, executed by the High Commission for Canada in India in respect of premises 76/48, Chanakyapuri, New Delhi, is chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 8. F. No. 1/20/59-Stamps/Cus.VII.]

D. N. LAL, Under Secy.

**COLLECTORATE OF CENTRAL EXCISE, CALCUTTA****CENTRAL EXCISE***Calcutta, the 19th February 1959*

**S.O. 661.**—In exercise of the powers conferred on me by rule 233 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, read with rule 173 *ibid*, and in supersession of this Collectorate, Central Excise, Notification No. 11/1958, I hereby direct that licensees authorised to receive mineral oils (except Industrial Fuel Oil—Item 25 of Central Excise Tariff) in bond shall maintain a tankwise record of receipts and issues in the form annexed.

2. The effect of this order will be that Central Excise duty will henceforth be charged only at natural temperature (temperature recorded at the beginning of the week) instead of at 86 degree F. as hitherto.

3. This Notification will be brought into force on and from 1st March, 1959.

## ANNEXURE

Tank No. \_\_\_\_\_

Bond No. \_\_\_\_\_ &amp; Dated \_\_\_\_\_

Commodity \_\_\_\_\_

## RECEIPTS

Date	Opening at Natural Temperature (gallons)	Balance at 86°F (gallons)	Name of the vessel and refin- ery from which received	A. R. 3 No. & date, and rate of duty as advised by C.E. Officers at source	No. & date of advice from the des- patching end	Date & time of arrival of the consignment	Date & time of completion of discharge
2	3(a)	3(b)	4	5	6	7	8

## RECEIPTS

## Quantity Bonded

Presumptive assessment of duty

At natural temperature

Corrected to 86°F.

Observed temperature	Specific Gravity	Quantity Gallon	Specific Gravity	Quantity gallon	Rate	Amount
9(a)	9(b)	9(c)	10(a)	10(b)	11	12

## DELIVERIES

Total quantity or date		No. & Date of application for removal			Quantity delivered		
At Natural temperature (gallon) 3(a) plus 9(c)	At 86°F (gallons) 3(b) plus 10(b)	A.R.1.	A.R.3	A.R.4	Natural temperature	Corrected to 86°F.	
					Observed Specific temperature Gravity	Quantity gallons	Specific gravity
						Quantity gallons	Specific gravity

as per 16(c)

Duty paid		Loss or gain ascertained		Balance		Remarks
Rate	Amount	At Natural temperature (gallons)	at 86°F (gallons)	At Natural temperature (gallons)	at 86°F (gallons)	
18(a)	18(b)	19(a)	19(b)	20(a)	20(b)	21

NOTE.—(i) The principle of 'First-in' First-Out' shall be adopted, and issues on different dates shall be shown against a particular receipt until it is exhausted; separate "cages" being set apart for each A.R.3.

(ii) Besides recording the quantity and specific gravity at the natural temperature at the time of bonding deliveries, all quantities shall be corrected to the standard temperature of 86°F with reference to relevant specific gravity.

(iii) All direct clearances on payment of duty, i.e., clearances ex-vessel without bonding in a licensed tank ashore shall also be similarly accounted for in a separate record in the same form with suitable modifications.

[No. 1/1959.]

S. C. MATHUR, Collector.

## CENTRAL EXCISE

Calcutta, the 23rd February 1959

S.O. 662.—In exercise of the powers conferred on me under rule 5 of Central Excise Rules, 1944, I hereby empower all Officers not below the rank of a Superintendent in the Central Excise Collectorate, Calcutta, to exercise the powers of Collector under Rule 206(3) of Central Excise Rules, 1944.

[No. 2/1959.]

Calcutta, the 27th February 1959

S.O. 663.—This Collectorate Notification No. 9 EX(MP)/56, dated the 18th May, 1956 is hereby rescinded.

[No. 3/1959.]

S. P. KAMPANI, Collector.

## CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE, DELHI

## CENTRAL EXCISE

New Delhi, the 17th March 1959

S.O. 664.—In exercise of the powers conferred on me under Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I empower the officers of Central Excise Collectorate, Delhi, specified in column 1 of the sub-joined table to exercise within their respective jurisdiction, the power of Collector under the Rule enumerated in column 2.

TABLE

Rank of Officer 1	Central Excise Rules 2	Limitation, if any. 3
Adjudicating officers	206 (3)	Nil

[No. IV(9)3/53/3090.]

B. D. DESHMUKH, Collector.

## CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE, ALLAHABAD

AMENDMENT No. 9/59 TO NOTIFICATION No. 5/58

Allahabad, the 28th March 1959

S. O. 665.—In the schedule appended to the Allahabad Collectorate Notification No. 5/58, as amended by amendment No. 8/59 dated the 13th February, 1959 and published on pages 441-442 of Part II-Section 3 sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India dated the 21st February, 1959, the following amendments/additions are ordered with immediate effect :—

1. Substitute the following for the existing entries against item at Sl. Nos. 3 and 29 :—

Serial No.	Name of Districts or portions thereof	Exempted areas in cents
3.	Entire Jaunpur district except Tehsils of Jaunpur, Machhli Shahar and Pargana Guzara of Kerakat Tehsil	5 Cents
29.	Entire Hardoi District except Bilgram and Shahabad Tehsils, Hardoi Municipal area and Villages Goapmau and Tandiawan of Hardoi tehsil	5 Cents



2. Cancel items at Serial Nos. 36 to 46 and insert the following after item No. 35 :—

Serial No.	Name of the Districts or portions thereof	Exempted areas in cents.
		Cents
36.	Tehsils Dariabad of Barabanki District . . . . .	5
37.	Entire Sultanpur District . . . . .	5
38.	(a) Tehsils Rae Bareilly, Dalmau and Mahraiganj of Rae Bareilly District except Villages Kila Rae Bareilly, Bahai, Bhandai and Jalalpur . . . . .	5
	(b) Saloni tehsil of Rae Bareilly District except Villages Jais, Nasirabad, Saina Chak, Ahora, Tanatap, Bhilampur, Chandai, Meer, Sawaia, Pauney, Mau and Kharauli . . . . .	5
39.	(a) Entire tehsil Kheri of Lakhimpur District except the following villages :—	
	(i) Pangi, Kheri, Kunwarpur, Motipur, Paharkhan-ka-purwa of pargana Kheri ;	5
	(ii) Ashokepur, Banahidiha, Jodha Purwa, Kewal Purwa, Jagsar, Sansari, Dhakia Purwa, Kutkuma and Pandri of Pargana Srinagar ; and	
	(iii) Palia and Palla Kalan of Pargana Palia.	
	(b) Entire tehsil Mohandi of Lakhimpur district except the following villages :—	
	(i) Mohamdi of Pargana Mohemdi ;	5
	(ii) Barwar of Pargana Pasgavan ;	
	(iii) Kuraili of Pargana Haidrabad ;	
	(iv) Mohammadpur of Pargana Magadapur ; and	
	(v) Babona of Pargana Kasta.	
	(c) Entire tehsil Nighasan of Lakhimpur district except the following villages :—	
	(i) Dhaurahra, Jodhpurwa, Majharia, Abhaipur and Lonian purwa of Pargana Dhaurahra ;	5
	(ii) Bojhia, Idilpur, Isanagar, Beershingpur, Gurwa, Kurtaya, Rudarpur, Janakpurwa, Hulaspurwa and Ganeshpur of Pargana Isanagar ; and	
	(iii) Singhai, Nighasan, Gauria, Jamahaura, Padua and Barotha of Pargana Nighasan.	
40.	(a) Entire Misrikh tehsil of Sitapur District except the following villages :—	
	(i) Tilyani, Aunt, Vazeernagar, Scothan, Bhikanpur, Imalia, Saidapur and Meerapur of Pargana Misrikh ;	5
	(ii) Machretta of Pargana Machhretta ;	
	(iii) Gangapur of Pargana Gundlamau ;	
	(iv) Palia, Paila, Tikra, Chhaba Begampur and Kusaila of Pargana Moholi ;	
	(v) Kundera of Pargana Kurauna.	
	(vi) Bansi, Piswan and Faridpur of Pargana Chaudhra ; and	
	(vii) Aurangabad of Pargana Aurangabad	
	(b) Entire tehsil Sitapur of Sitapur District except the following villages :—	
	(i) Khairabad of Pargana Khairabad ;	5
	(ii) Sitapur and Bilhera of Pargana Sitapur ; and	
	(iii) Hargaon, Keoti Kalan and Turtipur of Pargana Hargaon.	
	(c) Entire Sidahuli Tehsil of Sitapur District except Parganas Mahmoodhabad, Sadarpur and South Kundri.	
41.	Entire Gonda District . . . . .	5
42.	(a) Basti, Damariaganj and Harraiya Tehsils of Basti District . . . . .	8
	(b) Rest of Basti District not included in (a) above . . . . .	5
43.	Bahraich and Nanpara Tehsil of Bahraich District . . . . .	5

## MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY

*New Delhi, the 16th March 1959*

**S.O. 666/IDRA/6(12)-(Am).**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Industries (Development & Regulation) Act, 1951 (61 of 1951), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri S. A. Tekchandani, Chief Executive Officer, All India Handicrafts Board, New Delhi and Shri M. Gopala Rao, Deputy Technical Director, National Productivity Council as members of the Development Council engaged in the manufacture and production of textiles made of wool including woollen yarn, hosiery, carpets and druggets, established by the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry S.R.O. No. 2820/IDRA/6/12, dated the 31st August, 1957, and directs that the following amendment shall be made in the said Order, namely:—

In the entries against the category of "persons who have special knowledge of matters relating to the technical and other aspects of the said scheduled industries", after entry No. 14C relating to Shri Jayantlal K. Anjaria, the following entries shall be inserted, namely:—

"14D. Shri S. A. Tekchandani, Chief Executive Officer, All India Handicrafts Board, *New Delhi*."

14E. Shri M. Gopala Rao, Deputy Technical Director, National Productivity Council, *New Delhi*."

[No. 4(16)IA(ii)(G)/59.]

### CORRIGENDUM

*New Delhi, the 17th March 1959*

**S.O. 667.**—In the Ministry of Commerce and Industry's Order S.O. 1904/IDRA/6/15, dated the 16th September, 1958, published in the Gazette of India, dated the 20th September 1958, in Part II, Section 3 sub-section (ii):—

(1) For "Shri Charat Ram, All India Distillers' Association, H.37 Connaught Circus, New Delhi."

Read "Shri Charat Ram, The Delhi Cloth & General Mills, Bara Hindu Rao, P. B. No. 1039, Delhi."

(2) For "Shri Jivanlal Chhotalal, All India Distillers' Association, H-37, Connaught Circus, New Delhi."

Read "Shri Jivanlal Chhotalal, C/o Kesar Sugar Mills, 45—47, Apollo Street, Fort, Bombay."

[No. 4(20)IA(II)(G)/59.]

A. K. CHAKRAVARTI, Under Secy.

### (Indian Standards Institution)

*New Delhi, 24th February 1959*

**S.O. 668.**—In pursuance of Sub-regulation (1) of regulation 8 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that two licences, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been granted authorizing the licensees to use the Standard Mark.

## THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Licence No. and Date	Period of Validity		Name and Address of the Licensee	Article covered by the licence	Relevant Indian Standard
		From	To			
1	CM/L-117 13-2-1959	2-3-1959	1-3-1960	M/s. Metallica Works Private Ltd., 11, Worli, Road, Bombay-18.	Antifriction Bearing Alloys.	IS : 25-1950 Specification for Antifriction Bearing Alloys.
2	CM/L-118 19-2-1959	2-3-1959	1-3-1960	M/s. Bengal Plywood Manufacturing Co., 23/24 Radha Bazar Street, Calcutta.	Tea-Chest Plywood Panels.	IS : 10-1953 Specification for Plywood Tea-Chests (Revised).

[No. MDC/12 (232)-L.]

**S. O. 669.**—In pursuance of sub-regulation (1) of regulation 8 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that 5 licences, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed have been renewed.

## THE SCHEDULE

Serial No.	Licence No. and Date	Period of validity		Name and Address of the Licensee	Article covered by the Licence	Relevant Indian Standard
		From	To			
1.	CM/L-62 7-2-1958	17-2-1959	16-2-1960	M/s. Great Eastern Cutlery Works, 20 Strand Road, Calcutta-1.	Pruning knives, Hooked and Curved.	IS : 619-1955 Specification for Pruning Knives Hooked and Curved.
2.	CM/L-63 7-2-1958.	17-2-1959	16-2-1960	M/s. Indian Turpentine & Rosin Co. Ltd., P.O. Clutterbuckganj, Bareilly (U.P.)	Gum Spirit of Turpentine (Oil of Turpentine)	IS : 533-1954 Specification for Gum Spirit of Turpentine (Oil of Turpentine)
3.	CM/L-65 7-2-1958	1-3-1959	29-2-1960	M/s. Kasipur Plywood Company, 2 Dalhousie Square East, Calcutta-1.	Tea-Chest Plywood Panels.	IS:10-1953 Specification for Plywood Tea-Chest (Revised)
4.	CM/L-66 7-2-1958	1-3-1959	29-2-1960	M/s. Woodcrafts (Assam) Ltd., P.O. Mariani, District Sibsagar.	Do.	Do.
5.	CM/L-67 7-2-1958	1-3-1959	29-2-1960	M/s. Wood Craft Products Ltd., 8 India Exchange Place, Calcutta.	Do.	Do.

[No. MDC/12(40)-L.]

LAL C. VERMAN, Director.

## MINISTRY OF STEEL, MINES AND FUEL

(Department of Mines &amp; Fuel)

New Delhi, the 17th March 1959

**S. O. 670—** Whereas it appears to the Central Government that coal is likely to be obtained from the land mentioned in the Schedule hereto annexed;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to prospect for coal therein.

## SCHEDULE

Talcher Block 'B'

Plan No. Rev/5/59.

Sl. No.	Village	Thana	Sub-Division	District	Approximate area	Remarks
1	Kuchianali	Colliery.	Talcher.	Dhenkanal.	198.95 Acres.	Whole.
2	Danra	Colliery.	Talcher.	Dhenkanal.	2430.08 Acres.	Whole.
3	Chintamanipur	Colliery.	Talcher.	Dhenkanal.	27.89 Acres.	Whole.
4	Jambubahali	Colliery.	Talcher.	Dhenkanal.	688.32 Acres.	Whole.
5	Badajorada	Colliery.	Talcher.	Dhenkanal.	1517.52 Acres.	Whole.
6	Mahendrapur	Colliery.	Talcher.	Dhenkanal.	173.96 Acres.	Whole.
7	Baulapur	Colliery.	Talcher.	Dhenkanal.	381.73 Acres.	Whole.
8	Natedi	Colliery.	Talcher.	Dhenkanal.	512.74 Acres.	Whole.
9	Badasingada	Colliery.	Talcher.	Dhenkanal.	537.69 Acres.	Whole.
10	Sanasingada	Colliery.	Talcher.	Dhenkanal.	103.32 Acres.	Whole.
11	Surjamanipur	Colliery.	Talcher.	Dhenkanal.	209.45 Acres.	Whole.
12	Kendupalli	Colliery.	Talcher.	Dhenkanal.	194.01 Acres.	Whole.
13	Hirapur	Colliery.	Talcher.	Dhenkanal.	313.54 Acres.	Whole.
14	Ramchandrapur	Colliery.	Talcher.	Dhenkanal.	187.71 Acres.	Whole.
15	Jagabandhupur	Colliery.	Talcher.	Dhenkanal.	111.29 Acres.	Whole.
16	Tentulei	Colliery.	Talcher.	Dhenkanal.	1127.28 Acres.	Whole.
TOTAL					8715.48 Acres (Approximate)	

*Boundary description —*

BCD line passes along the Western and Northern boundary of village Danra.

DEF line passes along the Eastern boundary of village Danra and Jambubahali.

FG line passes along the Northern boundary of village Badasingada, Surjamanipur and Ramchandrapur and Hirapur.

GHIJ line passes along the Eastern boundary of villages Hirapur and Tentulei.

JKL line passes along the South-Western boundary of village Tentulei.

LMN line passes along the Southern and South-Western boundary of villages Kendupalli, Jagabandhupur and Surjamanipur.

NO line passes along the Eastern boundary of villages Badasingada, Sanasingada and Badajorada.

OP line passes along the Southern boundary of village Badajorada.

PQ line passes along the Western boundary of village Badajorada.

QR line passes along the Southern boundary of villages Mahendrapur and Baulapur.

RB line is the common boundary of Angul and Talcher Sub-Divisions.

The map of the areas can be inspected at the office of the National Coal Development Corporation (Private) Ltd., (Revenue Section), Darbhanga House, Ranchi or at the office of the District Magistrate, Dhenkanal (Orissa).

[No. C2-21(2)/59.]

A. S. GREWAL, Under Secy.

**MINISTRY OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE****(Department of Agriculture)****(Indian Council of Agricultural Research)***New Delhi-2, the 13th March 1959*

**S.O. 671.**—Under Section 4(x) of the Indian Cotton Cess Act, 1923 (14 of 1923), the Central Government are pleased to appoint Shri P. S. Patil, Chikli, District Buldana to be a member of the Indian Central Cotton Committee, Bombay upto 31st December, 1959.

[No. 1-12/58-Com. II.]

AJUDHIA PRASADA, Under Secy.

**MINISTRY OF HEALTH***New Delhi-2, the 18th March 1959*

**S.O. 672.**—The Government of Andhra Pradesh having nominated, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (e) of sub-section (2) of section 3 of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954 (37 of 1954), Dr. C. R. Naidu, Director of Public Health, Andhra Pradesh to be the representative of that Government on the Central Committee for Food Standards, in the vacancy caused by the resignation of Dr. K. Somayya, Assistant Director of Public Health (Nutrition), Andhra Pradesh, the Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred by the said section 3, hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health No. S.R.O. 1236 dated the 1st June, 1955, namely:—

In the said notification, for entry 10, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"10. Dr. C. R. Naidu, Director of Public Health, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad."

[No. F.14-3/59-PH.]

T. V. ANANTANARAYANAN, Under Secy.

*New Delhi, the 18th March 1959*

**S.O. 673.**—In pursuance of sub-rule (d) of rule 2 of the Indian Medical Council Rules, 1957 published with the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health S.R.O. No. 1319, dated the 16th April, 1957, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri S. K. Banerji, Deputy Secretary to the Government of Rajasthan, Medical Department, Jaipur, as 'Returning Officer' for Rajasthan for the conduct of election of a member to the Medical Council of India under clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956.

[No. F.5-40/58-M.I.]

R. MURTHI, Under Secy.

**MINISTRY OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS***New Delhi, the 23rd February 1959*

**S.O. 674.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby makes the following rules, regulating the method of recruitment to Class III and IV posts in the Delhi Polytechnic, Delhi.

1. **Short-title and application.**—These rules may be called the Delhi Polytechnic Class III and IV Recruitment Rules, 1958.

2. **Method of recruitment.**—The method of recruitment, educational and other qualifications, age-limits, scale of pay, period of probation etc., shall be as laid down in the Schedule annexed hereto.

GOVERNMENT  
DELHI  
SCHE

Sl. No.	Name of post.	Its classification: Whether gazetted or non-gazetted and whether ministerial or non-ministerial.	Scale of pay	Number of posts	Percentage of posts to be filled by			For direct Age limits
					Direct recruitment	Promotion	Senio- rity cum fitness.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs.					
1	Head Clerk	Class III (Non-Gazetted) Ministerial.	160-10-300	4	25%	75%	...	Ordinarily not more than 25 years.
2	Accountant	Do.	Do.	1	...	100%	...	...
3	P.A. to Principal	Do.	Do.	1	...	...	100%	...
4	*Upper Division Clerks.	Do.	80-5-120-8-200-10/2-220	18	50%	50%	...	Ordinarily not more than 25 years.
5	Assistant Accountant.	Do.	Do.	3	50%	...	50%	Do.

OF INDIA  
POLYTECHNIC  
DULR

recruitment only		For promotion/transfer only			Remarks.
Educational and other qualifications required.	Period of probation if any	Whether age and Educational qualifications prescribed for direct recruitment will apply in case of appointment by promotion/transfer.	Grades/sources from which promotion/transfer are to be made.	Composition of D.P.C.	
10	11	12	13	14	15
<p><i>Essential</i> (1) Graduate of a recognised University or equivalent ; (2) About 3 years experience in a Govt. office, University or Technical Institute.</p> <p><i>Desirable</i> Working knowledge of Govt. rules &amp; regulations and knowledge of type-writing, noting and drafting.</p>	...	<p><i>Essential</i> (1) Matriculation or equivalent qualification (2) Five Years' experience.</p>	From permanent or quasi-permanent U.-D.Cs,	Question does not arise.	If no suitable departmental men are available for promotion, posts will be filled by direct recruitment.
...	...	(1) I. Com. with at least 5 years experience in dealing accounts or B.Com. with 2 years experience.	From Assistant Accountant.	Do.	Do.
...	...	(1) Matriculation or equivalent. (2) Five Years experience in a Govt. office, technical institutes or commercial concern of re-putation.	From Stenographers.	Principal—Chairman; Deputy Educational Adviser (I)—Member Registrar—Member-Secretary.	Do.
<p><i>Essential</i> Graduate of a recognised University or equivalent.</p> <p><i>Desirable</i> Some experience in Govt. Office or Technical Institution.</p>	...	(1) Matriculation or equivalent. (2) At least 5 years experience as L.D. C. or in an equivalent grade.	From Quasi-permanent or permanent L.D.C. or equivalent grade.	...	<p>Do.</p> <p>The direct recruits will have to pass a test in typewriting at 30 words per minute before confirmation. *This includes the post of Cashier, with a special pay of Rs. 30/- per month.</p>
<p><i>Essential</i> (1) I. Com. with at least 3 years experience or equivalent or B Com. with at least one year's experience in handling accounts in a Govt. Office or commercial concern of re-putation .</p>	...	Yes.	From L.D.CS. and equivalent grades.	Same as in the case of P.A. to Principal.	If no suitable departmental men are available for promotion posts will be filled by direct recruitment.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs.					
6	*Lower Division Clerks.	Class III (Non-Gazetted) Ministerial.	60-3-81/4-125-5-130.	32	100%	...	...	Ordinarily not more than 25 years.
7	Stenographers .	Do,	80-5-120-8-200-10/2-220	2	100%	...	...	Do.
8	Chief Store-Keeper.	Do.	. 150-15-300	1	...	100%	...	Do.
9	Store-Keeper .	Do.	80-220	2	50%	50%	...	Do.
10	Assistant Store-Keeper.	Do.	60-130	1	100%	...	...	Do.
11	Higher Grade	Class IV (Non-Gazetted).	35-1-50	40	...	100%	...	CLASS Not less than 20 years.
12	Lower Grade .	Do.	30-1/2-35	120	100%	...	...	Do.

Foot

1. In cases where confirmation in a grade is subject to passing of the Departmental Examination Principal may stances qualifications.

2. The age limits where prescribed is subject to such relaxation as has been granted by the Government of India.

3. Persons having more than one wife living or marrying a person having a wife living, unless specially exempted



10	11	12	13	14	15
<b>Essential</b>					
(1) Matriculation or equivalent.	...	...	...	Question does not arise.	Class IV staff possessing the requisite qualifications will also be considered along with candidates from Employment Exchange in accordance with the instructions issued by Ministry of Home Affairs from time to time.
(2) Typing speed of 30 words per minute.					*This includes the 3 posts of steno-typists with a special pay of Rs. 20 p.m. Persons selected as Steno-typist should possess the following qualifications : (1) Matriculation or equivalent. (2) 30 W.P.M. in type-writing and 80 W.P.M. in shorthand.
<b>Essential</b>					
1. Matriculation.	...	...	...	...	L.D.Cs. working as Steno-typists will also be considered for appointment as Stenographers.
2. 40 W.P.M. in type-writing and 100 W.P.M. in Shorthand.	...	...	...	...	
<b>Essential</b>					
1. Graduate of a recognised University.	...	Matriculation or equivalent with Science. 5 years service as Store Keeper.	Promotion from Store-keeper.	Question does not arise.	If no suitable departmental men are available for promotion post will be filled by direct recruitment.
2. At least 5 years or I.Sc. with 7 years' experience in handling stores.					
Knowledge of general specifications and methods of upkeep of machinery, tools and stores.					
<b>Essential</b>					
Matriculation or equivalent with Science.	...	Yes.	From Assistant Store-Keeper.	Do.	Do.
5 years' experience in handling and accounting stores.					
<b>Essential</b>					
Matriculation or equivalent with Science. Knowledge of handling and accounting stores.	...	...	...	...	...
<b>Desirable</b>					
Some experience of Stores work in a Govt. Office or a commercial concern.					
<b>IV.</b>					
<b>Essential</b>					
Pass in Middle Standard and should be able to work as gestetner operator/other duplicating machines.	...	Yes.	From lower grade, if available, otherwise by direct recruitment.	Do.	...
<b>Essential</b>					
Pass in Middle Standard.	...	...	...	Do.	...

**NOTES**

Exempt any Officer from the condition, if he is satisfied that such a treatment is justified in view of special circumstances to Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes, Displaced persons, and the like by orders issued from time to time. are not eligible for appointment to these posts.

[No. F.5-108/58.T.1.]

H. S. SHAHANI, Asstt. Edcl. Adviser.

*New Delhi, the 17th March 1959*

**S.O. 675.**—In pursuance of sub-rule (1) of rule 48 of Order XXI of the First Schedule to the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Act 5 of 1908), the Central Government hereby appoints the Deputy Secretary incharge of Administration in the Ministry of Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs as the officer to whom notices of orders, attaching the salaries or allowances of the officers and staff employed in that Ministry may be sent.

[No. 45/41/59-Estt.]

P. SOMASEKHARAN, Under Secy.

### MINISTRY OF REHABILITATION

*New Delhi, the 17th March 1959*

**S.O. 676.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that it is necessary to acquire the evacuee properties specified in the Schedule hereto annexed in the State of Madhya Pradesh for a public purpose being a purpose connected with the relief and rehabilitation of displaced persons, including payment of compensation to such persons;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 12 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), it is notified that the Central Government has decided to acquire, and hereby acquires, the evacuee properties specified in the Schedule hereto annexed.

#### THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	House number	Name of the town and locality/ village in which the property is situated.	Name of the Evacuee
1	2	3	4
<b>URBAN</b>			
<b>RATLAM</b>			
I	3120	Momin pura, Ratlam	Fateh Mohmd. son of Habibullah.
<b>JAORA</b>			
2	3884	Nazar Bagh, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Munawar Khan Kale Khan.
3	3885	Nazara Bgh, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Munawar Khan Kale Khan.
4	3573	Shagar Pesh, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Bashir Khan son of Foad Khan.
5	3574	Shagar Pesh Jaora, District Ratlam.	Faiz Khan Farhad Khan.
6	3477	Nazar Bagh, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Chand Khan Gul Khan.
7	3589	Ratlam gate, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Peshu son of Asgar ali.
8	3576	Sagar Pesh, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Abdul Hamid Khan Kaloo Khan.
9	2403	Pathan Toli, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Umrao Khan Rasool Khan.
10	3442	Sagar Pesh, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Mohmad Syed Khan ali.
11	54	Nana Sahib Ka Mohalla, Jaora, Dist. Ratlam.	Jinnah s/o Hamija Shah.
12	55	Nana Sahib ka Mohalla, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Jinnah s/o Hamija Shah.
13	59	Nana Sahib ka Mohalla, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Jinnah Bewal Shah.
14	2488	Pathan Toli, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Shatik Khan.

1	2	3	4
15	4199 . . .	Unth Khana, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Niaz Mohd. Nazer Mohammad son of Anwar Khan.
16	1032 . . .	Udari ki badi, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Abdul Rasool.
17	1377 (Plot) . . .	Julahpura, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Mohmad Aliz Khan son of Jasan Khan.
18	269 (Plot) . . .	Baraf Khana, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Syed Amir Sabbi son of Ahmed.
19	64 (Plot) . . .	Nana Sahib ka Mohalla, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Umid Shah Amirji.
20	1582 (Plot) . . .	Chhawani, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Mohmad Wazir Khan Gulamali.
21	1585 (Plot) . . .	Chhawani, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Mohmad Mumtaz Khan Mohmad Umrad Khan.
22	252 . . .	Baraf Khana, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Taj Mohammad Mir Khan.
23	262 . . .	Baraf Khana, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Taj Mohammad Mir Khan.
24	261 . . .	Baraf Khana, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Abdul Rehman Mir Khan.
25	254 . . .	Baraf Khana, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Abdul Rehman.
26	3439 . . .	Sagar Peshwa, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Bhure Khan Amanat Khan.
27	Open Plot . . .	Mahidpur Gate, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Rashid Khan Bashir Khan.
28	2438 . . .	Pathan Toli, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Sakina w/o Abdul.
29	Plot . . .	Julahpura, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Masullah son of Ghuda Miya.
30	4027 . . .	Kabada, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Ibrahim s/o Wali Mohammad.
31	1930 . . .	Khachiya Gali, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Amir Khan s/o Nair Khan.
32	3396 (Plot) . . .	Neem Chowk, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Kalu s/o Khudabux.
33	190 . . .	Hamalpur, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Mohmad s/o Rajuji.
34	706 (Plot) . . .	Bohra Bakhai, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Beli son of Shubrat.
35	4266 . . .	Unth Khana, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Bashir Khan Noor Khan.
36	277 . . .	Nana Sahib Ka Mohalla, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Bakradi s/o Jummai.
37	2460 (Plot) . . .	Pathan Toli, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Khail Khan Amir Khan.
38	2504 . . .	Pathan Toli, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Muniruddin Karimuddin.
39	2441 . . .	Pathan Toli, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Sugra w/o Abdul Rehaman.
40	2443 (Plot) . . .	Pathan Toli, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Shamshuddin Hashimuddin.
41	3299 . . .	Madan pura, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Kali w/o Suleman.
42	3300 (Plot) . . .	Madan pura, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Kali w/o Suleman.
43	Plot . . .	Mukim Khan ki Gali, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Nurul Afsar Mushraf Khan.
44	2940 . . .	Sutarpara, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Badrudin Shamshuddin.
45	2376 . . .	Pathan Toli, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Nabi Noor Khan Amir Khan.
46	2387 . . .	Pathan Toli, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Nabi Noor Khan Amir Khan.
47	1375 (Plot with well). . .	Julahpura, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Mohmad Shah s/o Hazrab.
48	2686 . . .	Khachroad Gate, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Barkat Mohd. Khan.
49	2466 . . .	Pathan Toli, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Syed Nissar Ali s/o Bheruali.
50	612 . . .	Near Royal Makubara, District Ratlam.	Tal Khan Gul Khan.
51	1463 . . .	Mali Pura, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Khan Mohammad Bashir Khan.
52	2442 . . .	Pathan Toli, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Hashimuddin Shekh Gulab.
53	3910 . . .	Chhipupura, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Abdul s/o Dhulaji.
54	2503 . . .	Pathan Toli, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Mohd. Ayaz Khan Mohd. Jamil Khan.
55	3609 . . .	Near Chowk, Jaora, District Ratlam.	Ashiq ali Amir Ali.

## MANDSAUR

56	Plot . . .	Bhui Wara, Mandasaur.	Hassina Daughter of Amirullah.
57	3/931 (Ground storey). . .	Bohra Bakhai, Mandasaur.	Abbas Bhai son of Fezala Hussain.

1	2	3	4
NEEMUCH DISTRICT MANDSAUR			
58	1118	Lakkar Mand Neemuch Cantonment.	Abdul Latif s/o Mangtoo.
59	1143	Neemuch city	Gaffur son of Fakir Mohmad.
60	1103	Madho Ganj Neemuch	Ahmed Khan Lal Khan.
JAWAD DISTRICT MANDSAUR			
61	Nil	Chhipa Mohalla Jawad.	Jamaluddin son of Habibji.
RAMPURA TEHSIL MANASA			
62	1361/1369	Mohitpura Rampura.	Bhuijai w/o Ibrahim.
63	1613/1629	Jawaeri Mohalla Rampura	Abbas Bahi s/o Mohmad Ali.
64	1634 (Plot)	Jawaeri Mohalla Rampura	Pedia Hussain Khan Bhai.
65	537/541	Flati Mohalla Rampura	Hussain Ali Mohmad ali.
66	1883/1815	Kaddarpura Rampura	Mullah Lmail Mullah Ali Bhai.
67	1583/1585(Plot)	Rajpura Rampura	Tahirali & Razabali sons of Ismailji.
BHANPURA DISTRICT MANDSAUR			
68	478.	Momanpura Bhanpura	Abdul Latif son of Yaker Khan.
SHAMGARH TEHSIL GAROTH			
69	Nil	Near Station Shamgarh	Mehboob Shah Ramzani Shah.
70	Nil	Near Station Shamgarh	Rajju khan Resham khan.
71	Nil	Sadar Rasta Shamgarh	Shaikh Abdul Sheikh Bhera
72	Nil	Bagdi Mohalla Shamgarh	Shaikh Abdul Sheikh Bhera.
DHAR DISTRICT			
73	39	Vrawad Darvaja Dhar	Sugra Widow of Sattar Khan.
74	22A	Pindara Bakhali Dhar	Abdul Gaffur.
75	89A	Sadar Bazar Dhar.	Firozuddin.
76	131	Kazi Wada Dhar	Ahmed Haq Abdul Gafoor.
MANAWAR DISTRICT DHAR			
77	394	Nai Manawar.	Bubarak Pir Bux.

[No. 1(1220)/58/Comp. III/Prop.]

New Delhi, the 18th March 1959

**S.O. 677.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that it is necessary to acquire certain evacuee properties specified in the Schedule below in the State of Rajasthan for a public purpose being a purpose connected with the relief and rehabilitation of displaced persons, including payment of compensation to such persons;

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 12 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), it is notified that the Central Government has decided to acquire, and hereby acquires, the evacuee properties specified in the Schedule.

THE SCHEDULE.

*List of Properties which are not yet Acquired by Central Government*

Sl. No.	Name of town	Ward No.	Description	Locality	Name of the evacuee owner
1	Bharatpur	5	Pucca house No. 5/56	Rupla Paisa	Musmar Sakina w/o Juma Nal.
2	Bharatpur	9	Pucca house No. 9/54.	Char Bhag	Sayed Ahmed s/o Khalil Ahmed.
3	Bharatpur	7	Pucca house No. 7/51.	Gordhan Gate	Kamuruddin s/o Rahim Bux.
4	Bharatpur	5	Pucca house No. 5/3	Sed-Ka-Madhi	Mangal s/o Nathu.
5	Bharatpur	3	Pucca house No. 3/147	Gali Diwanji	Mohmed Ali, Muslique s/o Mohmed Ali.
6	Bharatpur	7	Pucca house No. 7/58	Mandi Atal Band	Shahabuddin s/o Karim Tahir.
7	Bharatpur	2	Pucca house No. 2/31.	Outside Mathura Gate	Saidudin s/o Jamuddin.
8	Bharatpur	9	Pucca house No. 9/6-42	Gopal Garh	Yakub s/o Mohmed Saddiq.
9	Bharatpur	3	Pucca house No. 3/226	Bud-Ki-Hat	Meduri Sirkiwala.
10	Kama	3	Plot No. 3/III	Qazi Para	Shansu s/o Mangal Dhobi.
11	Kalna	3	1 house No. 3/III	Moti Purotar	Suleman Syed s/o Ibrahim.
12	Kumher	2	Shop No. 2/30	Main Bazzar	Subhankhan s/o Wazir Bux.
13	Bayana	2	1 House	Mohalla Tabera	Jumma s/o Chhota.
14	Bhusawar	2	1 house with 3 shops No. 21, 22, 23.	Bhusawar	Amir Ali Syed s/o Haji Ibrahim Ali.
15	Bhusawar	3	1 house No. 3/79	Bhusawar	Gul Mohmed s/o Asand Khan
16	Bayana	4	House No. 4/17 & 19.	Bayana	Dillu s/o Shahzad.

[No. F. 1(1221)-58/Comp. III/Prop.]

(Office of the Chief Settlement Commissioner)

*New Delhi, the 18th March 1959 :*

**S.O. 678.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that it is necessary to acquire the evacuee properties specified in the Scheduled hereto annexed in the State of Punjab for a public purpose, being a purpose connected with the relief and rehabilitation of displaced persons, including payment of compensation to such persons;

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 12 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), it is notified that the Central Government has decided to acquire, and hereby acquires, the evacuee properties specified in the Schedule hereto annexed.

Sl. No.	Particulars of the Evacuee Property	Name of the Town and locality, village in which the property is situated	Name of the Evacuee
1	Land comprising of Khasra No. 2880 measuring 6 Bighas-16 Biswas situated between the POST MORTOM ROOM & THE MOTILAL DHARMSALA, Narnaul.	Narnaul.	Shri Mashar Ali S/o Shri Munnah Shah and Nane Khan S/o Smt. Kamal Bibi caste Faqir.

[No. 1(1219)/58/Comp. III/Prop.]

RAJA LAL GUPTA), Under Sec'y.

**(Office of the Chief Settlement Commissioner)***New Delhi, the 17th March 1959*

**S.O. 679.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (2) of Section 16 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, No. 44 of 1954, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri K. S. Raghavan for the time being holding the post of Accounts Officer in the office of the Custodian of Evacuee Property, Hyderabad, as Managing Officer, for the custody, management and disposal of compensation pool from the date he took over charge of the above post.

[No. 16(22)/Admn(Prop)/58.]

*New Delhi, the 18th March 1959*

**S.O. 680.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of Section 3 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation & Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Prabhu Shankar Mathur as Assistant Settlement Officer for the purpose of performing the functions assigned to such officers by or under the said Act with effect from the date he took charge of his office.

[No. 8/147/57-Admn(R).]

M. L. PURI,

Settlement Commissioner (Admn.) &  
*Ex-Officio* Under Secy.**MINISTRY OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT***New Delhi, the 19th March 1959*

**S.O. 681.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Nagpur, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the North Jhagrakhand and South Jhagrakhand Collieries and their workmen:—

**BEFORE SHRI P. D. VYAS, JUDGE, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, NAGPUR AT BOMBAY**

REFERENCE (CGIT) No. 11 OF 1958.

AN ADJUDICATION BETWEEN

The North Jhagrakhand and South Jhagrakhand Collieries

AND

Their Workmen.

In the matter of an industrial dispute relating to the lock out of four workmen.

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri B. Narayanaswamy, Advocate—for the Management.

Shri R. L. Malviya, M.P.—for the Workmen.

**AWARD**

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Central Government was pleased to refer an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the North Jhagrakhand and South Jhagrakhand Collieries and their workmen for adjudication to me under the Government Order No. LR11/2(75)/58, dated 11th July 1958. The dispute relates to the matters specified in the schedule annexed to the said order.

## THE SCHEDULE

Whether the lock out of the following 4 workmen by the Management of the North Jhagrakhand and South Jhagrakhand Collieries, P.O. Jhagrakhand Colliery is justified? If not, what relief they are entitled to? —

1. Shri Bagar Sai, Trolleyman, North Jhagrakhand Colliery.
2. Shri Netram, Office Chaprasi, North Jhagrakhand Colliery.
3. Shri Sukhdeo, Trolleyman, North Jhagrakhand Colliery.
4. Shri Kanaiha Lal, Underground Apprentice, South Jhagrakhand Colliery.

2. On the usual notices being issued, the President, Chhattisgar Colliery Workers' Federation, Chirimiri has filed the statement of claims on behalf of the workmen and the Managing Director of the Jhagrakhand Collieries Private Limited has filed the written statement on behalf of the employers.

3. The case on behalf of the workmen is that on 23rd April, 1955 there was a strike in all the three North, South and West Jhagrakhand Collieries and it was withdrawn on the night of 24th April, 1955. The work was resumed on the morning of 25th April, 1955 but when the workmen Shri Netram, Shri Bagar Sai and Shri Sukhdeo went to resume their duties they were not allowed to do so. In fact they did not join the strike and even otherwise they were neither charge-sheeted nor any inquiry was held against them. Shri Kanaiha Lal, Underground Apprentice was charge-sheeted and he had given his reply but the inquiry was held during his absence and in the result he was wrongfully locked out from 23rd May, 1955. All these four workmen should therefore be reinstated with full back wages and other benefits.

4. The case on behalf of the Management is that Shri Bagar Sai and Shri Sukhdeo who worked as Trolleyman at North Jhagrakhand Colliery were absent without leave from 24th April, 1955 and their names were struck off under the standing orders after the usual interval. Shri Netram who was employed as Chaprasi in the office at the North Jhagrakhand Colliery collected his dues for April 1955 and left of his own accord the service of the company. In any event no industrial dispute can be raised in respect of these three workmen. There was an illegal strike at the Collieries and Power Houses on 23rd and 24th April, 1955 and in the interest of security the company had decided not to allow certain persons to join work after the strike was withdrawn. The charge-sheets were duly issued to about 43 workmen and on a preliminary inquiry, 40 out of them were suspended from work pending permission from the Tribunal to discharge them. Out of the four persons concerned in the present reference, the charge-sheet was issued only to Shri Kanailal Sharma and the other three absented themselves without leave and Shri Netram, Chaprasi actually collected his dues. As regards Shri Kanailal, though he had received the charge-sheet and sent his reply, he disappeared from the colliery and did not present himself at the time of the inquiry. In the circumstances none of the four concerned workmen are entitled to any relief.

5. It appears that after the strike, the employers issued charge-sheets to 43 workmen including Shri Kanailal, a workman concerned in the present reference. It is alleged in the written statement now filed that in the result of a preliminary inquiry only 40 persons were suspended from work pending obtaining permission from the Tribunal for discharging them. An application for this purpose under section 22 of the Industrial Disputes (Appellate Tribunal) Act was filed on 1st August 1955. Some of the workers, 36 in all, on the other hand filed an application on 2nd August 1955 being a complaint under section 23 of the Industrial Disputes (Appellate Tribunal) Act. It is alleged on the employer's behalf that the said application under section 22 lapsed in August 1956 and probably because of another pendency a fresh application was made under section 22 of the Industrial Disputes (Appellate Tribunal) Act on 3rd November, 1956. In the second application also the permission was sought against the same 40 workmen including Shri Kanailal. From amongst these 40 workmen, there was a private settlement in the case of 2 and a settlement before the Tribunal in the case of 32 and thus remained only 6 workmen including Shri Kanailal. It is an undisputed fact that the said application under section 22 lapsed and it is not the Management's case that any permission was granted to them as regards these six workers. Out of the said six workers, the management again filed applications against four under section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 before this Tribunal being the applications (CGIT) No. 27 and 28 of 1957 pending the reference (CGIT) No. 9 of 1957. These two applications have been

settled on the same line as in the case of the other workmen referred to above and thus remained only Kanailal and one Srivastava. The Union does not represent Srivastava and as regards Kanailal, he is one of the concerned workmen in the present reference. It may be noted that Kanailal was not joined as a party in the said applications Nos. 27 and 28 of 1957. As against the other three workmen concerned in the present reference, admittedly no charge-sheet was issued against them for having taken part in the strike. In fact they had not joined the strike and as against them the Management's case is that they remained absent and either abandoned or left services of their own accord.

5. Though it has been vaguely contended in the employers' written statement that no industrial dispute could be raised in the case of the three workmen, Bagar Sai, Netram and Sukhdeo, no such point has been argued or pressed at the time of the hearing. The question arising for decision before us is whether the first three workmen have really left the services as alleged by the Management and whether as against Shri Kanailal the action taken by the Management is justified on the basis of the charge levelled against him.

6. Taking up first the case of the three workmen, Bagar Sai, and Sukhdeo, Trolleyman and Netram, Office Chaprasi, it was conceded on behalf of the Management that there was nothing against them and that they have left the services of their own accord. It is significant to note that these were the workers employed directly under the higher officers and themselves had taken no part in the strike. If it were so, it is difficult to understand why they should all of a sudden disappear and abandon their services soon after the strike was withdrawn, one of them, i.e. Netram, Chaprasi having put in not less than 22 years' service. The story of their abandonment of service is highly improbable and untrustworthy in view of the evidence of the then Union Secretary Shri Boral and three concerned workmen *vide* Exs. U-6, U-7, U-8 and U-9. It is clear from their evidence that on the contrary when they presented themselves for work and continued to do so for some time after the strike, they were refused employment. Not only this but Netram, Chaprasi had then addressed to the Management the letter, Ex. M-3 dated 5th June, 1955, which goes to indicate that he insisted on being allowed to join his duties. The Manager of the Colliery (Ex. M-4) is unable to explain why these workers who did not participate in the strike should have gone away instead of joining their duties on 25th April, 1955 after the strike was over. He admits that no note has been made in the Colliery register that the concerned workmen had abandoned their services. He further admits that the concerned workmen were their old employees. In my opinion the management's refusal to give employment to these three workers is unjustifiable and they are entitled to be reinstated with back wages.

7. As regards Shri Kanailal, he was an underground apprentice in South Jhagrakhand Colliery and after the strike he was served with a charge-sheet. He had given his reply to the same as per Ex. U-2 on 29th April, 1955 denying *inter alia* the charges levelled against him. Then it appears from Exs. U-3 and U-4 that the Agent and Mining Engineer made a note on 20th May, 1955, that Kanailal Sharma did not appear for the inquiry and remained absent from the Collieries and then an order dated 23rd May, 1955 was made as under:—

"Whereas on the enquiry held, the charges of (1) fiery speeches inciting loyal workers to go on an illegal strike, (2) riotous, disorderly and indecent behaviour, (3) going on an illegal strike, (4) leading gangs of workers, intimidating, mob-obstruction and intimidating loyal workers from attending duties and disturbing public tranquility, (5) forcibly closing down pumping stations and other ancillary works and loss of Company's property, against you have been found to be proved, and as such the Company has lost confidence in you, and is unwilling to continue to employ you or to furnish work to you, you will therefore remain locked out until further orders."

In the first place no inquiry proceedings have been produced before us nor is there any material on record to indicate that the Management was justified in holding that all these grave charges were proved against this workman. Apparently he has been locked out because of the alleged misconduct committed by him. The Management therefore was bound to have acted *bona fide* on material so as to call for no interference by this Tribunal. No such material is forthcoming nor is it clear whether any further orders were made after this note dated 23rd May, 1955 which merely states that the worker will remain locked out until further orders. It is true that an application was made under section 22 for permission to dismiss this workman along with others but it is not the



Management's case that any such application was finally decided and the permission sought was granted. On the contrary, as shown above, the applications in this connection lapsed. So far as this worker is concerned, it has not been shown what further action, if any, the management took. Simply because this workman was not present at the time when the aforesaid application under section 23 was filed on behalf of certain workmen as it appears from Ex. U-5 produced during the cross-examination of Shri Boral, it does not necessarily mean that at all other relevant periods this worker was not available and had disappeared. The only witness examined on behalf of the management is the Manager of the North Jhagrakhand Colliery (M-4). He admits that Kanailal was working in the South Jhagrakhand Colliery in the management of which he is not concerned and that he has no personal knowledge about the case of this workman. In the circumstances it must be held that the management has failed to make out any case against this workman nor the proper procedure as contemplated under the standing orders has been followed in terminating his services.

8. In the result I hold that the lock out of the four workmen concerned in the present reference is unjustifiable and the Management is directed to reinstate them on their original posts with full back wages.

(Sd.) P. D. VYAS,

Dated 11th March 1959.

Central Government Industrial Tribunal Nagpur at Bombay.

[No. LR.II/2(75)/58.]

**S.O. 682.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Ena Colliery, Post Office Dhansar and their workmen.

**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DHANBAD.**  
REFERENCE No. 66 OF 1958

**PARTIES:**

Employers in relation to the Ena Colliery, P.O. Dhansar.

AND

Their workmen.

*Dhanbad, dated the 10th March 1959*

**PRESENT:**

Shri Salim M. Merchant, B.A., LL.B.—*Chairman.*

**APPEARANCES:**

Shri D. Narsingh, Advocate—*for the employer company.*

Shri S. K. Mukherjee, Pleader, instructed by Shri P. B. Choudhry, **General Secretary**, Colliery Staff Association—*for the workmen.*

State : Bihar.

Industry : Coal.

**AWARD**

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment by its Order No LR.II-2(154)/58 dated 5th December, 1958 made in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947, was pleased to refer to me for adjudication the industrial dispute between the parties above named in respect of the matters specified in the following schedule to the said order:—

- (1) Whether having regard to the nature of duties performed by Shri P. P. Midya, a clerk in the Despatch Section, the management was justified in placing him in Clerical Grade I only with effect from the 1st April 1958. If not, with effect from which date he is entitled to the benefit of this grade.
- (2) Whether having regard to the nature of duties performed by Shri Ram Raj Prasad, Clerk in the Store Section of the Colliery, the management was justified in placing him in Clerical Grade III as "Store-

Issue Clerk". If not, which should be his proper grade and designation and from which date."

2. After the usual notices were issued, the General Secretary of the Colliery Staff Association (hereinafter referred to as the union) filed its written statement of claim on 26th December, 1958 and the company filed its written statement in reply on 14th January, 1959. The company also filed a supplementary written statement on 15th February, 1959 to which the union filed its reply on 27th February, 1959, after which the dispute was heard on 3rd March, 1959.

3. Before dealing with the subject matter of the dispute on its merits it is necessary to deal with a preliminary legal objection urged by the company in its supplementary written statement dated 16th February, 1959, that the dispute referred to by the Government is not an industrial dispute but is an individual dispute, inasmuch as the union which has taken up the cause of the workmen has a membership of only 25 members of the clerical staff as against the total of about 1200 workmen including the manual workers, employed in the colliery and therefore this Tribunal has no jurisdiction to entertain the same. The union in its counter has stated that it is a registered union recognised by the company and also by the employers. The union has also urged that since the objection was not urged in the original written statement filed by the company, it should not be entertained.

4. In my opinion, there is no substance in the objection urged by the management. The dispute relates to the proper gradation of two members of the clerical staff employed in this colliery. It was admitted on behalf of the management that there are only about 40 members of clerical staff employed in the colliery out of which admittedly 25 are members of this union. This union as its very name suggests is a union of the clerical staff employed in collieries in Bengal and Bihar and as admitted a substantial number of the clerical staff of this colliery are its members. The company in para 9 of its written statement has admitted that this union raised the present dispute and took it for conciliation before the Conciliation Officer. There is also no doubt that the cause of these two workmen has been taken up by the other members of the clerical staff of this colliery who are represented by this union which is a registered trade union. Thus what might have been an individual dispute in the inception has been converted into an industrial dispute. The Government also had sufficient materials before it to hold that this was an industrial dispute and the reference in my opinion is quite valid. Shri Narsingh, learned advocate for the company has relied upon the decisions in the cases reported at 1954-I.L.L.J. p. 21 (New India Assurance Co. Ltd. and another and Central Government Industrial Tribunal and others), 1956-I.L.L.J. p. 498 (Sri Ram Vilas Service Ltd. and State of Madras and another) and 1958-I.L.L.J. p. 752 ("Tamil Nadu," Madurai). But in my opinion, the facts of this case can easily be distinguished from the facts of the cases relied upon by Shri Narsingh. I therefore reject the preliminary objections raised by him and hold that what is referred to the Tribunal is an industrial dispute and not an individual dispute and therefore this Tribunal has jurisdiction to entertain it.

5. Now, on the merits the first dispute is with regard to P. P. Midya who is employed as a Clerk in the Despatch Section and the question that falls for decision is whether having regard to the nature of the duties performed by him, the management was justified in placing him in clerical grade I with effect only from 1st April, 1958 and if not with effect from which date he is entitled to the benefit of this grade. The short point of difference between the parties in the date from which P. P. Midya should have been placed in grade I. The union claims that he was entitled to be placed in the grade I for clerical staff with effect from 26th May, 1956 the date on which the Majumdar Award as modified by the decision of the Labour Appellate Tribunal came into force. On the other hand, the company's contention is that he has been rightly placed in the grade I with effect from 1st April, 1958 and is not entitled to the benefit of that grade from 26th May, 1956. The facts of the case are that Midya was working in this company for the last about 20 years in difference capacities and on the date the Majumdar Award came into operation i.e. on 26th May, 1956 he was working as head despatch clerk with two assistants under him and he is working in that capacity even now. When the Majumdar Award came into force the company placed Midya in Grade II for clerks. Midya was dissatisfied with this classification and by his letter dated 19th November, 1956 to the Chief Personnel Officer he requested re-fixation of his grade. (See Annexure 'A' to the written statement of claim of the Union). But the management took up the stand that his classification under grade II for clerks was proper. The matters rested there till P. P. Midya by another application dated 28th January, 1958 renewed his application for reconsideration of

his case and on 30th March, 1958 the dispute was referred to the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Dhanbad, by the Colliery Staff Association. The conciliation proceedings then followed, and during the pendency of conciliation proceedings the company by its letter dated 24th July, 1958 informed the Conciliation Officer that they had granted Midya grade I of clerical grade with effect from 1st April, 1958 as a special case without creating a precedent. This position was intimated by the Conciliation Officer to the union, who, however, pressed that he should be granted the benefits of the pay in grade I for clerical staff retrospectively from the date the Majumdar Award came into force i.e. 26th May, 1956. Further proceedings were held by Conciliation Officer but they ended in failure and the dispute was thereupon referred for adjudication.

6. I may state that the scales of pay for grade II and Grade I clerks prescribed by the Majumdar Award, as modified by the decision of the Labour Appellate Tribunal of India are Rs. 48-3-57-4-93 and Rs. 63-4-83-5-118-8-158 respectively. It is admitted that on 1st June, 1956 the basic salary drawn by Midya was Rs. 70 per month, and that when he was placed in grade I with effect from 1st April 1958, his basic salary was fixed at Rs. 98 in that grade.

7. I have heard the submissions of both the parties and whilst I feel that considering the nature of the duties performed by him, Midya is entitled to be placed in Grade I. However, taking into consideration all the facts and circumstances of the case, I feel that his claim to be placed in that grade from 26th May, 1956 i.e. 1st June, 1956 from which date the Majumdar Award was implemented is not justified, as after raising a dispute about his classification by his letter dated 19th November, 1956 he did not do anything but acquired in his classification in Grade II by the management, till the union took up his case again by its letter dated 30th March 1958. I think the ends of justice would be met if Midya were granted the benefit of the pay of scale prescribed for grade I clerks at the stage of Rs. 98/- basic pay with effect from 1st June, 1957 and I direct accordingly. I further direct that difference in the amount of his basic pay, dearness allowance, bonus, etc. due to him on that basis shall be calculated and paid to him within one month from the date this award becomes enforceable.

8. The next demand under reference relates to one R. R. Prasad a clerk in the stores section of the colliery. The management has placed him in the clerical grade III as "Stores Issue Clerk", but the union claims that he should be designated as Assistant Store Keeper and placed in grade II for clerks prescribed by Majumdar Award as modified by the decision of the Labour Appellate Tribunal. It appears that the dispute with regard to the proper designation and gradation of R. R. Prasad was originally raised by this union by its letter dated 12th March 1957 addressed to the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Dhanbad, and that on 3rd June 1957 before the Conciliation Officer, Shri F. Banerjee, an agreement was reached in which the union did not press the claim for the up-grading of the Store Issue Clerk. This agreement is recorded by the Conciliation Officer in the notes of the discussion that took place before him and the parties on 3rd June 1957 and this record has been signed on behalf of the Union by its General Secretary who represented the workmen in those proceedings. This is admitted by the union. It will thus be seen that when originally the claim for grade II was made for R. R. Prasad the same was withdrawn by the union. Thereafter, a fresh dispute was sought to be raised on 30th March 1958, which was again taken in conciliation and the Conciliation Officer who dealt with the dispute in his report recommended to the management to place him in grade II. It is on this report that the union largely relied in support of its demand for designating R. R. Prasad as Assistant Store Keeper and for the scale of pay for clerks of grade II being awarded to him.

9. The company contends that the union is estopped from raising this dispute, it having been withdrawn before the Conciliation Officer on 3rd June 1957, and I accept this contention. In the proceedings before the Conciliation Officer on 3rd June 1957 the union had specifically agreed not to press this demand and it must therefore be held that the dispute was thus concluded and the union was not entitled to raise a fresh dispute regarding the designation and gradation of this workman.

10. Even otherwise, on the merits I am not satisfied that the union has made out a case to justify its demand. Beyond relying upon the recommendations made by the Conciliation Officer (Shri Roy) in his report dated 15th October 1958, the union has not led any evidence in support of its claim. The only thing Shri Roy, the Conciliation Officer, has stated in his report, relied upon by the union, is that he had visited the colliery in question in July 1957 and checked up the work actually being performed by Prasad. After his verification he wrote to

the management that as Prasad was doing all the works which is usually done by an Assistant Store Keeper, his proper designation should be Assistant Store Keeper, he being the next man to assist the store keeper and that he would be placed in grade II. But the Conciliation Officer has not stated what were the actual duties being performed by Prasad. In any case, the opinion of the Conciliation Officer cannot be conclusive on the duties performed by a workman whose designation and gradation are in dispute. The union having not led any evidence in support of its case and in view of the fact that in the earlier Conciliation proceedings in 1957 this demand had been withdrawn, and no change of circumstances were established before me I am not satisfied that a case has been made out by the union in support of its demand. Demand No. 2 regarding R. R. Prasad is therefore **rejected**.

11. I make no order as to costs.

(Sd.) SALIM M. MERCHANT, Chairman,  
Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad.

DHANBAD;

The 10th March 1959.

[No. LR-II-2(154)/58.]

New Delhi, the 20th March 1959

**S.O. 683.**—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Noonadhi Jitpur Colliery of Indian Iron & Steel Co., P.O. Bhaga and their workmen.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DHANBAD

REFERENCE No. 62 OF 1958

**PARTIES.**—Employers in relation to the Noonadhi Jitpur Colliery of Indian Iron & Steel Co. P.O. Bhaga.

AND

Their workmen

Dhanbad, the 11th March 1959

**PRESENT.**—Shri Salim M. Merchant, B.A., LL.B.—*Chairman*.

**APPEARANCES:**

Shri M. C. Addy Group Labour & Welfare Superintendent with Shri M. Jha. Manager, Jitpur Colliery—for the employers.

Shri D. Narsingh Advocate, with Shri B. N. Sharma, Member, Executive Committee, Colliery Mazdoor Sangh—for the workmen.

State: Bihar.

Idt  
n s

Industry: Coal.

#### AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment, by Order No. LR-II-2(143)/58 dated 8th November 1958 made in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (XIV of 47), was pleased to refer to me for adjudication the industrial dispute between the parties above named in respect of the following matters specified in the schedule to the said order:—

"Whether the management of the Noonadhi Jitpur colliery is justified in placing the following eight loading munshis in the scale of Rs. 31—1—40 after the introduction of the Award of the All India Industrial Tribunal (Colliery Disputes) as modified by the Labour Appellate Tribunal having regard to the gradation of clerical staff mentioned in Appendix XVI, Volume II of the said Award and if not, to what relief they are entitled:—

- (1) Shri Satish Ojha
- (2) Shri Gaya Pd. Singh
- (3) Shri N. K. Chakravarty
- (4) Shri Badal Ch. Roy

- (5) Shri Shanti Sarkar
- (6) Shri Guru Pada Mookerjee
- (7) Shri Deodhari Tewari
- (8) Shri Shanti Pada Ghose."

2. After the usual notices were issued, the workmen filed the written statement of claim on 29th November 1958 and the employers filed their written statement in reply on 15th December 1958, after which the dispute was fixed for hearing on 14th January 1959. The said date had to be changed on the application of parties till the matter was taken up for hearing on 4th March 1959, when after hearing the submissions of both parties, I made a suggestion for a possible compromise of the dispute. Thereafter, at the adjourned hearing to-day, the parties filed the terms of settlement reached between them and have prayed that an award be made in terms thereof. A copy of the said application of the parties containing the terms of settlement is annexed hereto and marked Annexure 'A'. As I am satisfied that the terms of settlement are fair and reasonable, I make an award in terms of the said application of the parties which shall form part of this award.

3. No order as to costs.

(Sd.) SALIM M. MERCHANT,

Chairman,  
Central Government Industrial Tribunal,  
Dhanbad.

DHANBAD;

The 11th March 1959.

#### ANNEXURE "A"

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DHANBAD

REFERENCE No. 62 OF 1958

#### PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Noonadhi Jitpur colliery of Indian Iron & Steel Co.

AND

Their workmen

#### Memorandum of settlement

The parties above named most respectfully beg to state as follows:—

1. The above dispute has been amicably settled between the parties today as under.

- (a) The loading munshis referred to in the Schedule to the Order of Reference of this dispute shall be granted the grade of Rs. 36—3—68 and shall be placed at the stage of Rs. 39/- per month with effect from 1st July 1958.
- (b) The difference in the present emoluments of the aforesaid workmen and the new starting basic salary of Rs. 39/- with all consequential allowances, bonus, etc. shall be paid by the management to the aforesaid workmen before the Holi holidays.

2. The parties pray that the Hon'ble Tribunal may be graciously pleased to make an award in terms of said, for which the parties shall ever pray.

DHANBAD;

The 11th March 1959.

For the employers:

M. C. ADDY,  
Superintendent and Labour Welfare Officer.

M. JHA,  
Manager, Noonadhi Jitpur Colliery.

Taken on File.

For the workmen:

D. NARSINGH,  
Advocate

B. N. SHARMA,

Member, Executive Committee.  
Colliery Mazdoor Sangh

Dhanbad.

(Sd.) ILLEGIBLE,  
Vice President,  
Union Branch.

(Sd.) SALIM M. MERCHANT,  
Central Government's Industrial Tribunal,

[No. LRII/2(143)/58.]

## ORDER

*New Delhi, the 18th March 1959*

**S.O. 684.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the New Huntodih Colliery and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

## SCHEDULE

Whether the retrenchment of Sarvasbri Sadique Ansari, Dasarath Singh and Taju Mia, Pump Khalasis, with effect from the 30th September 1958, by the management of New Huntodih Colliery was justified? If not, to what relief are they entitled?

[No. LR-II-2(164)/58.]

K. D. HAJELA, Under Secy.

*New Delhi, the 20th March 1959*

**S.O. 685.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 13 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Amrit Kumar Madhok to be an Inspector for the whole of the State of Punjab and the Union territory of Himachal Pradesh for the purposes of the said Act and of any scheme made thereunder, in relation to an establishment belonging to, or under the control of, the Central Government or in relation to an establishment connected with a railway administration, a major port, a mine or an oil-field or a controlled industry.

[No. PF. I/31(575)/59.]

P. D. GAIHA, Under Secy.

## ORDER

*New Delhi, the 20th March 1959*

**S.O. 686.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Bombay Port Trust and their workmen, regarding the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10, of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal with Shri F. Jeejeebhoy, as the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Bombay, and refers the said dispute to the said Tribunal for adjudication.

## SCHEDULE

Whether the seniority of mazdoors of diesel loco section and steam loco section of Bombay Port Trust Railway loco shed be maintained separately and if so, should there be any transfer from one section to the other in view of the nature of their work in different sections.

[No. LR-IV-28(47)/58.]

A. L. HANDA, Under Secy.

---

**MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING***New Delhi-2, the 19th March 1959*

**S.O. 687.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (3) of rule 8 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules 1958, read with sub-rule (3) of rule 9 of the said Rules, the Central Government hereby re-appoints after consultation with the Central Board of Film Censors, Shrimati Asoka Gupta as a member of the Advisory Panel of the said Board at Calcutta with effect from the 29th March, 1959.

[No. 11/3/58-FC.]

D. R. KHANNA, Under Secy..

